

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,967	06/20/2003	Hirotaka Hara	00650-0741	6569
32116 7:	590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA	
500 W. MADIS	SON STREET			
SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/600,967	HARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	<u>uly 2005</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 10-13 and 15 is/are objected to.	a alastias sassissas at					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	eu.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-18) in the reply filed on 07-21-05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). During a telephone interview, Examiner Charles informed Counsel that, product by process claim 18 properly belongs to Group II. Hence, this claim would be withdrawn from consideration. Counsel agreed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Admitted Prior Art (APA) directed to JP 2708717 in view of Schanin et al (US 5,176,583).
- 4. With respect to claims 1-2,14 and 16-17, the APA discloses a process of making a V-ribbed belt. The process involves forming a ribbed compression rubber layer by extruding the compression rubber layer in a length-wise direction. The ribbed compression rubber is laminated and vulcanized in a molding die to a cushion rubber layer with a load carrying layer embedded in the cushion rubber layer

.___

Art Unit: 1733

(numbered paragraph 9). The process of the APA differs from claim 1 in that, the APA does not teach forming a ribbed compression rubber layer by applying a radial force to a compression rubber sleeve in a molding die. However, it would have been obvious in the art to apply a heat-molding operation (i.e. apply radial force to a compression rubber sleeve in a molding die) instead of using an extrusion operation in forming a ribbed compression rubber layer in the process of the APA, because: a) it is well known in the art of making V-shaped drive belt to interchangeably use an extrusion operation or a molding operation to preform "all belt components: the compression member 2, the load-carrying member 6 and the (optional) tension member 12" prior to bonding the various members together (col. 4 line 45 to col. 5 line 39); and b) applying a radial force to a rubber sleeve in a heated molding die by an outward expansion of a bladder to form a ribbed drive belt is conventional in the art of making V-ribbed belt as exemplified in the teachings of Wood (abstract; figures 8A-8B).

With respect to claim 3, while it would appear that, a ribbed compression layer and a cushion layer are initially laminated prior to being molded together, it would have been obvious in the art to simultaneously laminate and mold (i.e. apply radial force) the above two layers together, as such is taken to be well within the purview of choice in the art.

With respect to claim 4, see claim 2 on page 1 of a computer English translation of JP '717.

With respect to claims 5-6, see figure 1 of JP '717, particularly 5a, 5b.

Art Unit: 1733

With respect to claims 7, the limitation in this claim reads on forming a compression rubber layer and a cushion rubber layer together using a conventional pair of molding assemblies as exemplified in the teachings of Wood (figures 9-10B), where members of a drive belt are pressed against each other using an inner outwardly expanding molding assemblies and a outer corrugated molding assembly, the outer and inner assemblies are concentric to each other.

With respect to claim 8, as noted above, it is old in the art to preform various members of a drive belt. A preference of whether to use a single molding assembly or multiple molding assemblies to form each of the various members is taken to be well within the purview of choice in the art. For this reason, this claim would have been obvious in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 10-13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: in the context of the claims taken as a whole, there is no suggestion in the art to use 1st and 2nd mold assemblies for forming cog teeth or ribs to a 1st sleeve component (i.e. limitation in claim 9), where a 2nd mold assembly being separate from a 1st mold assembly is used to form a 2nd belt sleeve (i.e. limitation in claim 8).

Art Unit: 1733

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Chuan C. Yao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Scy 09-18-05